



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/694,269	10/29/2003	Tsuyoshi Uehara	021311A	4127
23850 7590 06/13/2008 KRATZ, QUINTOS & HANSON, LLP 1420 K Street, N.W. Suite 400 WASHINGTON, DC 20005				
EXAMINER				
LEMIEUX, JESSICA				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3693				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
06/13/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/694,269

Applicant(s)

UEHARA ET AL.

Examiner

JESSICA L. LEMIEUX

Art Unit

3693

Period for Reply -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 February 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 2, 11 and 14-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 2, 11 and 14-18 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/5508)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/25/2008 & 7/13/2007
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Final Office action is in response to the request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 and in response to the applicant's arguments/amendments filed on February 25th, 2008.

Status of Claims

2. Claims 1-2, 11 and 14-18 are currently pending.

Response to Arguments

3. Examiner recognizes applicant's assertion that the amendment under 37 CFR 1.111 filed November 13, 2007 which states that "and claims 19-25 have been added" was inadvertently added. Therefore, examiner notes that only claims 1-2, 11 and 14-18 are currently pending.

Applicant's arguments, with respect to the objection of claims 17 and 18 have been fully considered and are persuasive in view of the amended claim language. The objection of claims 17 and 18 has been withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments, with respect to the 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph rejection of claim 1 have been fully considered and are persuasive in view of the amended claim language. The 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph rejection of claim 1 has been withdrawn.

Applicant states that the prior art does not disclose "the invoice is reconciled after amount billed has entered supplier's bank account and the message, payment and payment notification has the invoice ID." Examiner notes that these arguments are made with respect to the amended claims. Examiner disagrees with applicant's

Art Unit: 3693

conclusion that the pending claims as amended are in condition for allowance as the amended claims have been considered but are moot in view of new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-2, 11, 14-15 and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent Number 5,832,460 to Bednar et al (hereinafter Bednar) in view of US Patent Number 6,289,322 to Kitchen et al (hereinafter Kitchen) further in view of US Patent Number 6,704,714 to O'Leary et al (hereinafter O'Leary).

Applicant's claims are a combination of electronic funds transfer utilizing a "unique identification code" and invoice reconciliation. Bednar teaches electronic funds transfer and invoice reconciliation utilizing the transaction system proposed by Applicant. Kitchen is also a transaction system and teaches a "biller invoice number", which can be a unique identification code given by the biller as is well-known in invoice art.

As per claims 1 and 11

Bednar discloses a method, system and apparatus for managing transactions and settlements, comprising:

- a. A supplier system used by a supplier who issues an invoice (columns 2-3);
- b. A buyer system used by a buyer who receives the invoice (columns 2-3);
- c. A second finance system which has a bank account of the supplier and a first finance system which has a bank account of the buyer and performs deposit/withdrawal processing from the bank account of the buyer to the bank account of the supplier (columns 2-3);
- d. A server which is connected communicably with the supplier system, the buyer system and the first finance system via a communication network (columns 2-3);

Art Unit: 3693

e. The server receives, from the supplier system, an electronic invoice on which a unique identification code for identifying the invoice is generated by the supplier system (columns 2-3).

f. The server registers the received electronic invoice in a database (columns 2-3).

g. The server transmits, to the buyer system, a GUI screen for showing contents of the electronic invoice registered in the database and for allowing the buyer to approve the contents of the electronic invoice, and causes the buyer system to display the GUI screen (columns 2-3).

h. The server or buyer system automatically creates a transfer request telegraphic message having the unique identification code written on the registered electronic invoice, on the basis of the registered electronic invoice approved by the buyer, in response to that the buyer has approved the contents of the electronic invoice displayed on the GUI screen by the buyer system, and then transmits the transfer request telegraphic message to the first finance system (columns 2-3).

i. Upon reception of the transfer request telegraphic message having the unique identification code, the first finance system performs the deposit/withdrawal processing for a payment of the approved electronic invoice having the unique identification code, in the deposit/withdrawal processing, the amount of the approved electronic invoice is withdrawn from the bank account of the buyer and deposited into the bank account of the supplier, and the unique identification code in the transfer request telegraphic message is transmitted from the first finance system to the second finance system (columns 2-3).

j. The second finance system transmits, to the server or the supplier system, an electronic deposit/withdrawal detailed statement describing that a deposit is made to the bank account of the supplier, the electronic deposit/withdrawal detailed statement having the unique identification code received from the first finance system (columns 2-3).

Bednar does not specifically teach the unique identification code for the invoice.

Kitchen teaches a unique identification code or "biller invoice number" "payment confirmation number" (column 3-4 and column 17-18). Examiner notes that if this number can be used to inquire about the transaction, it must be linked to all aspects related to the invoice.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to have modified the transaction and settlement processing system of Bednar to include a unique identification code or biller invoice number of Kitchen as a more efficient way to track a bill in progress. Examiner further notes that both Kitchen and Bednar teach the presentation of bills over a computer to the client via a GUI screen.

Bednar and Kitchen do not specifically teach the server or the supplier is enabled to specify in the electronic invoice the amount of which has been deposited into the bank account of the supplier, by means of the unique identification code possessed by the electronic deposit/withdrawal detailed statement which is received from the finance system. However, as stated above, Kitchen teaches a unique identification code or "biller invoice number" (column 3-4 and column 17-18). Bednar further discloses

Art Unit: 3693

specifying (reconciling) an invoice (columns 2-3) by means of the electronic deposit/withdrawal detailed statement which is received from the finance system.

O'Leary teaches specifying (reconciling) the invoice amount billed of which has entered the supplier's account (abstract, claims 25, 30 & 50, column 16, lines 23-43 column 17, lines 34-51, column 20, lines 30-40).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to have modified the transaction and settlement processing system of Bednar and Kitchen to include specifying (reconciling) the invoice amount billed of which has entered the supplier's account as taught by O'Leary to ensure the accuracy of statements and to ensure that payment has been completely transferred to the supplier for completion of the transaction.

As per claim 2

Bednar discloses the transaction and settlement system further comprising the step in which when the supplier system receives the electronic deposit/withdrawal detailed statement, the supplier system automatically searches an electronic invoice having the unique identification code possessed by the deposit/withdrawal detailed statement, from among a plurality of electronic invoices held by the supplier system, and reconciles the searched electronic invoice (columns 2-4).

Bednar does not specifically teach the unique identification code for the invoice.

Kitchen teaches a unique identification code or "biller invoice number" (columns 3-4 and columns 17-18).

Art Unit: 3693

Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to have modified the transaction and settlement processing system of Bednar to include a unique identification code or biller invoice number of Kitchen because it is an efficient way to track a bill in progress.

As per claim 14

Bednar discloses a method, system and apparatus for transaction and settlements further comprising the steps in which:

- a. The server registers a status of the registered electronic invoice in a database (columns 2-3);
- b. The first finance system notifies the server of a withdrawal from the bank account of the buyer by means of deposit/withdrawal processing (columns 2-3);
- c. The server updates the status of the electronic invoice managed in the database to transfer request in progress, when the transfer request telegraphic message is transmitted to the first finance system or when the finance system notifies of a withdrawal from the bank account of the buyer by the deposit/withdrawal processing (columns 2-3); and
- d. The server notifies the supplier system of the updated status (columns 2-3).

As per claim 15

Kitchen discloses the transaction and settlement method system and apparatus further comprising the step in which the server updates the status of the electronic invoice managed in the database to an opened status, when transmitting, to the buyer system, the GUI screen showing the contents of the electronic invoice, and causing the buyer system to display the GUI screen (columns 3-4 and Figure 15).

As per claims 17 and 18

Kitchen teaches the transaction and settlement method, system and apparatus further comprising the step in which the server manages the status of each electronic invoice as opened and transfer request in progress and notifies the supplier system (column 3).

5. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent Number 5,832,460 to Bednar et al (hereinafter Bednar) in view of US Patent Number 6,289,322 to Kitchen et al (hereinafter Kitchen) in view of US Patent Number 6,704,714 to O'Leary et al (hereinafter O'Leary) and further in view of Quicken vs. Money from SmartComputing (hereinafter Quicken).

As per claim 16

Art Unit: 3693

The combination of Kitchen, Bednar and O'Leary disclose bill presentation over a network utilizing a GUI screen and a unique identification code.

Kitchen, Bednar and O'Leary do not specifically teach the transaction and settlement system further comprising a calendar GUI preparation step in which the server prepares a calendar GUI screen displaying a calendar, an invoice mark pasting step in which the server automatically pastes a mark indicating the electronic invoice registered in the database and the status of the electronic invoice managed in the database, in a space for a date of receipt of the electronic invoice, on the calendar of the GUI screen, a calendar GUI supplying step in which the server supplies the calendar GUI screen that has the mark on the electronic invoice and the status thereof pasted thereon, to the buyer system, and causes the buyer system to display the calendar GUI screen, and a mark changing step in which the server changes the display of the mark pasted on the calendar to display showing the status obtained after the change, in response to a change of the status managed in the database for the electronic invoice indicated by the mark.

Quicken discloses "financial programs let you pay bills electronically," you can track bank accounts, pay and receive bills online, create budgets, choose from 30 reports and graphs, and use limited investment tracking" (page 2). It details how "Quicken Deluxe 99's financial calendar lets you drag and drop transactions to schedule them for future payments" (page 2). Additionally, Quicken discloses "Money 99 Basic now contains a financial calendar that lets you see and sort paid bills and those that are still upcoming. With the E-bills feature, you can receive and pay bills through the Internet" (page 4).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to have modified the bill presentation system over a network utilizing a GUI screen and a unique identification code of Kitchen and Bednar to include a GUI calendar screen taught by Quicken to assist in presenting the client with bill information, making the system more user friendly and convenient to use.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **JESSICA L. LEMIEUX** whose telephone number is (571)270-3445. The examiner can normally be reached on **Monday-Thursday 8AM-5PM**.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Kramer can be reached on 571-272-6783. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3693

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/James A. Kramer/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3693

Jessica L Lemieux
Examiner
Art Unit 3693

/J. L. L./
Examiner, Art Unit 3693
June 2008